



# RING LEARNING WITH ERRORS DIGITAL SIGNATURE

Adam Ibrahim CS293G

# WHY R-LWE ?

- Most signature schemes currently in use depend on the difficulty of factoring or of the DLP
- Shor (1994): A quantum computer can efficiently (i.e. in polynomial time) deal with both factoring and the DLP
- Grover (1996): Speed-up on quantum computers against symmetric ciphers
- We therefore need quantum-resistant algorithms !

# WHY R-LWE ?

- Post-quantum schemes:
  - Lattice-based: R-LWE, NTRU, GRH, ...
  - Multivariate: Rainbow
  - Hash-based: Merkle, XMSS, ...
  - Code-based: Niederreiter, McEliece, ...
  - Supersingular EC isogeny
  - AES with large key sizes

# WHY R-LWE ?

- At 128 bit of post-quantum security (source:Wikipedia)

Algorithm	Type	Public Key	Private Key	Signature
NTRU Encrypt	Lattice	6130 B	6743 B	
Streamlined NTRU Prime	Lattice	1232 B		
Rainbow		124 KB	95 KB	
SPHINCS	Hash Signature	1 KB	1 KB	41 KB
BLISS-II	lattice	2 KB	7 KB	5 KB
New Hope	Ring-LWE	2 KB	2 KB	
Goppa-based McEliece		1 MB	11.5 KB	
Quasi-cyclic MDPC-based McEliece		8 KB	4384 B	
SIDH	Isogeny	564 B	48 B	
SIDH (compressed keys)	Isogeny	330 B	48 B	
3072-bit Discrete Log (not PQC)		384 B	32 B	
256-bit Elliptic Curve (not PQC)		32 B	32 B	

# WHY R-LWE ?

- R-LWE is efficient and several improvements have reduced the key sizes and number of computations even further (e.g. Zhang 2015 for the key exchange)
- The average case complexity of solving the lattice problem on which R-LWE is based is related to the worst case complexity of the shortest vector problem, which is NP-hard (Ajtai 1996, 1998)
- Pedro covered the key exchange last week; R-LWE can also be used for digital signature

# R-LWE GLP DIGITAL SIGNATURE

- We follow the GLP scheme by Güneysu T., Lyubashevsky V., Pöppelmann T. (2012)
- As with the key-exchange, we work in the ring ideal  $\frac{\mathbb{Z}_q[X]}{\Phi(X)}$  where  $\Phi$  is the cyclotomic polynomial  $x^n + 1$ ,  $q$  is a prime number and  $n$  a power of 2
- We work in the least magnitude representation, i.e.  
$$\mathbb{Z}_q = \left\{ -\frac{q-1}{2}, \dots, \frac{q-1}{2} \right\}$$

# R-LWE GLP DIGITAL SIGNATURE

- “Small” polynomial: infinity norm (i.e. max of the coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}$ ) is bounded
- Uniform sampling: the coefficients are all chosen uniformly in  $\{-b, \dots, b\}$  where  $b \ll q$
- One can also use discrete Gaussians in  $\mathbb{Z}_q^n$  in which case solving the R-LWE problem is as hard as the worst-case lattice problem with quantum algorithms (Lyubashevsky 2010)
- The private key is composed of two polynomials  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  with coefficients in  $\{-1, 0, 1\}$

# R-LWE GLP DIGITAL SIGNATURE

- As with DSA, a hash function is required. The hash function maps bit strings to small polynomials
- It is possible to choose a hash function such that exactly  $k$  coefficients are equal to 1 or -1, and the others to 0
- An upper bound  $\beta$  on the infinity norm (i.e. max of the coefficients) of certain vectors is fixed in advance to be equal to  $\beta = b - k$ , in order to avoid leaking information about the secret key

# R-LWE GLP DIGITAL SIGNATURE

- Example of the  $k = 32, n = 512$  hash function presented in the GLP paper:
  - H maps  $\{0,1\}^*$  to a 160-bit string  $r$ , which is then mapped injectively to the set of polynomials of degree  $n - 1$  with all coefficients equal to 0 except for 32 of them, equal to either 1 or -1
  - Read  $r$  5 bits at a time, e.g.  $r_1 r_2 r_3 r_4 r_5$ , and create a 16-digit string. If  $r_1 = 0$ , put a -1 at index  $r_2 r_3 r_4 r_5$  (read as a binary between 0 and 15) of the 16-digit string. If  $r_1 = 1$ , put a 1 at index  $r_2 r_3 r_4 r_5$
  - E.g. if we are reading in  $r$  (01101), the 16-digit string for those 5 bits is (0 000 000 000 000 (-1)00)
  - This gives a  $\frac{160}{5} * 16 = 512$  bit string which we read as a poly

# R-LWE GLP DIGITAL SIGNATURE — PUBLIC KEY GENERATION

- $q, n, b, k, \Phi(X)$  are known by the signer and the verifier
- The private key consists in two polynomials  $s_0$  and  $s_1$  chosen uniformly randomly from  $\{-1, 0, 1\}^n$  by the signer
- The public key consists in a polynomial  $a$  chosen in a uniformly randomly from  $\frac{\mathbb{Z}_q[X]}{\Phi(X)}$  and  $t = as_0 + s_1$

# R-LWE GLP DIGITAL SIGNATURE — SIGNATURE

1. Two polynomials  $y_0, y_1$  are selected by sampling uniformly their coefficients from  $\{-b, \dots, b\}$
2. Compute  $w = ay_0 + y_1$  and compute  $c = H(w, m)$
3. Compute  $z_0 = s_0c + y_0$  and  $z_1 = s_1c + y_1$  (no reduction mod  $q$  necessary in this step given the “small coefficients” condition)
4. If  $\|z_0\|_\infty$  or  $\|z_1\|_\infty > \beta$ , restart at 1.
5. The signature is  $(c, z_0, z_1)$

# R-LWE GLP DIGITAL SIGNATURE — VERIFICATION

1. If  $\|z_0\|_\infty$  or  $\|z_1\|_\infty > \beta$ , reject
2. Compute  $w' = az_0 + z_1 - tc$
3. If  $c = H(w', m)$ , accept

# R-LWE GLP DIGITAL SIGNATURE – VERIFICATION PROOF

- Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}w' &= az_0 + z_1 - tc \\ &= a(\cancel{s_0}c + y_0) + z_1 - (a\cancel{s_0} + s_1)c \\ &= ay_0 + (\cancel{s_1}c + y_1) - \cancel{s_1}c \\ &= ay_0 + y_1 = w\end{aligned}$$

- Note: while a smaller  $\beta$  is more secure, it increases the likelihood of having to resample the  $y_i$
- For  $k = 32$  the likelihood of  $\|z_i\|_\infty \leq \beta$  can be shown to be equal to  $\left(1 - \frac{64}{2b+1}\right)^{2n}$

# R-LWE GLP DIGITAL SIGNATURE

- R-LWE can be used for quantum-resistant asymmetric key encryption/decryption and digital signature with a speed comparable to current methods (RSA, ECDSA)
- The GLP algorithm with  $n = 512$ ,  $q = 8383489$ ,  $b = 2^{14}$  has a signature size of  $\sim 1\text{KB}$ , a secret key size of  $\sim 200\text{B}$ , and a public key size of  $\sim 1.5\text{KB}$  and provides a security equivalent to  $\sim 100$  bits
- The GLP algorithm can be implemented on embedded systems and was tested to be 1.5x faster than RSA

# CONCLUSION

- Other algorithms based on R-LWE exist for signature, such as BLISS
- Akleyek & al. (2016): ring-TESLA: most secure implementation to date, 20% faster than GLP at the cost of larger keys / signature, smaller key sizes than BLISS but 1.45x slower, although BLISS may be vulnerable to timing attacks
- Relatively new subfield of crypto, expect to see a lot of development in the next few years due to the proven security of R-LWE !